

AD-701325

AD 701 325

Division of Engineering  
BROWN UNIVERSITY  
PROVIDENCE, R. I.



RIA-77-U992



## TECHNICAL LIBRARY

### A CURVED FINITE ELEMENT FOR THIN ELASTIC SHELLS

G. DUPUIS and J. -J. GOËL

Department of the Navy  
Office of Naval Research  
Contract No. N00014-67-A-0191-0007  
Task Order NR-064-512  
Technical Report No. 4

N00014-0007/4

December 1969

A CURVED FINITE ELEMENT FOR  
THIN ELASTIC SHELLS\*

by

G. Dupuis\*\* and J.-J. Goél\*\*\*

Abstract

This paper is concerned with a curved triangular finite shell element, which represents the rigid-body motions exactly and assures convergence in energy. The stiffness matrix is derived in a general way that is valid for all mathematical models which accept Kirchhoff's assumption. A numerical example is presented to indicate the quality of results that can be obtained with 9 or 18 degrees of freedom at each meshpoint and basic functions of classes  $C^1$  or  $C^2$ .

---

\* This study was initiated while the authors were at the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale-Lausanne (EPFL, Switzerland) and was supported by the National Foundation of Scientific Research (of Switzerland). The present report was prepared at Brown University in connection with a program of research sponsored by the Office of Naval Research, under Contract N00014-68-A-0191-0007.

\*\* Now at Brown University, Division of Engineering, Providence, R. I. 02912, U.S.A.

\*\*\* Now at the University of Ottawa, Faculty of Pure and Applied Science, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose  
of the United States Government.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The application of the finite element method to shell problems has been the object of many papers. Leaving aside cases which are essentially one-dimensional by symmetry considerations, problems may be classed in three groups.

1. The most widely used method replaces the shell by a polyhedron and treats each face as a plate element (see [1-5]). Approaches of this kind differ from each other by the choice of shape functions and by the connections imposed between the elements. Note that these connections concern the nodal displacements and do not automatically ensure continuity of displacements along the sides of the elements. Some comparisons with exact solutions show that, in many cases, approximations of this kind are sufficient for engineering purposes. It should be noted, however, that this approach is without any mathematical support. It is not justifiable as an application of Ritz's method, because the functions used do not have the required continuity. Moreover, the relation to the general theories of thin elastic shells is tenuous, because these theories concern shells with smooth middle surfaces.

2. Another method treats the shell problem as a three-dimensional one, and uses curved finite elements which are called isoparametric (see [6-8]). This procedure, which is essentially used in arch dam problems, is primarily reserved for the relatively thick shells. In the same way, Amhad [9,10] proposed a method, in which the thickness of the shell plays a privileged role with respect to the other dimensions of the elements. This method, however, does not seem to be satisfactory when the shell becomes thin.

3. Some curved finite elements based on two-dimensional shell theory have been used (see [11-15]). They do not, however, assure the continuity of



displacements, or displacements derivatives, along the sides of the elements and do not represent the rigid-body motions exactly. Some numerical investigations concerning curved beam problems show that the last condition is essential for good numerical results. This remark has been confirmed theoretically in [16]. Contrary to what has occasionally been stated in the literature, the condition that rigid-body motions should be properly represented is essential, not for convergence in energy [17], but for acceptable rate of convergence. If this condition is fulfilled, it can show that the stresses and reactions computed from the approximate displacements assure the equilibrium of the shell, and this is, of course, of great practical importance.

In this paper, we construct a triangular shell element that guarantees convergence in energy and satisfies the condition of rigid-body motions, according to the following statements:

1. The unknown functions are the Cartesian components of the displacement.
2. The middle surface of the shell in both the undeformed and deformed states are defined, in Cartesian coordinates, as linear combinations of the same set of basic functions.
3. The strain energy vanishes exactly for all rigid-body motions of the middle surface.
4. The basic functions satisfy the conditions for convergence in energy.

In the following we shall make use of three types of basic functions; with one of them, the continuity conditions for the stress field are automatically satisfied.

Various mathematical models that are based on Kirchhoff's assumption differ in the expression of the extension and bending strains and in the constitutive equation. One of these models is therefore characterized by the

matrices  $A$  and  $B$  of the strain-displacement equations, the matrix  $K$  of the stress-strain relation and the boundary conditions. In fact, in view of the variational formulation, a model is completely defined by the three matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $K$ . The kinematical conditions are the same for all models of this class and the statical conditions are the natural boundary conditions of the variational problem.

We shall consider here the model proposed by Koiter (see [18,19]), which is briefly surveyed in the second section. In section 3, we obtain the expression of the strain energy in Cartesian coordinates, from which we form the matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $K$ . Section 4 deals with the discretisation of the boundary value problem while section 5 shows how to form the stiffness matrix of the element. An illustrative numerical example is given in the last section.

## 2. BASIC EQUATIONS

We give below an abstract of the basic equations of the Koiter's theory of thin sheels (see [18, 19]), using the usual notations of tensor calculus\* (see, for example, [20]).

Let  $\Sigma$  be the middle surface of the shell, defined by the equation  $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(\theta^1, \theta^2)$ ;  $\vec{a}_\alpha = \vec{r}_{,\alpha}$  the base vectors;  $\vec{a}_3 = \vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2 / |\vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2|$  the normal to  $\Sigma$ ;  $a_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{a}_\alpha \cdot \vec{a}_\beta$  and  $b_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{a}_{\alpha,\beta} + \vec{a}_{\beta,\alpha}) \cdot \vec{a}_3$  the two fundamental quadratic forms on  $\Sigma$ . The shell considered is the volume defined by the equation  $\vec{R}(\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3) = \vec{r}(\theta^1, \theta^2) + \theta^3 \vec{a}_3$ , where  $(\theta^1, \theta^2) \in D$ ,  $-h/2 \leq \theta^3 \leq h/2$ ;  $D$  is a domain of the plane  $(\theta^1, \theta^2)$  and  $h$  is the thickness of the shell.

The displacement of the middle surface  $\Sigma$  is defined by the vector field

$$\vec{v} = v_\alpha \vec{a}^\alpha + w \vec{a}_3, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{a}^\alpha = a^{\alpha\beta} \vec{a}_\beta$  are the contravariant base vectors and  $((a^{\alpha\beta})) = ((a_{\alpha\beta}))^{-1}$  is the contravariant tensor metric. It is convenient for the following to introduce the antisymmetric tensor

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (v_\beta|_\alpha - v_\alpha|_\beta), \quad (2)$$

which expresses the rotation of the middle surface around the normal. After deformation, the normal  $\vec{a}_3$  becomes the vector  $\vec{\bar{a}}_3 = \vec{a}_3 + u_\alpha \vec{a}^\alpha$ ; Kirchhoff's hypothesis yields the relation

$$u_\alpha = -(w_{,\alpha} + b_\alpha^\beta v_\beta). \quad (3)$$

The deformation of the shell is characterized by the two symmetric tensors

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (v_\alpha|_\beta + v_\beta|_\alpha - 2b_{\alpha\beta} w),$$

---

\* In this paper, Greek indices have the range 1, 2, a single stroke stands for covariant differentiation with respect to the surface metric and a comma denotes partial differentiation with respect to  $\theta^\alpha$ .

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{\alpha|\beta} + u_{\beta|\alpha} - b_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \omega_{\beta\gamma} - b_{\beta}^{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\gamma}), \quad (4)$$

which respectively represent the extension of the middle surface and the variation of its curvature. The strain parameters have a very simple intrinsic significance. Let us calculate the two fundamental forms  $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta}$  on the deformed surface  $\bar{\Sigma}$ ; keeping only the linear terms in the displacement, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} - a_{\alpha\beta}), \\ \rho_{\alpha\beta} &= -(\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (b_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} + b_{\beta}^{\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

These relations show that, by a fundamental theorem of differential geometry of surfaces, the strains vanish identically for all linearized rigid-body motions of the middle surface.

In the considered model, the strain energy density has the form

$$W = \frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (h \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{h^3}{12} \rho_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\gamma\delta}),$$

where

$$B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = G (a^{\alpha\delta} a^{\beta\gamma} + a^{\alpha\gamma} a^{\beta\delta} + 2\nu/(1-\nu) a^{\alpha\beta} a^{\gamma\delta}), \quad (6)$$

with  $G = E/2(1+\nu)$ ,  $E$  being the elastic modulus and  $\nu$  the Poisson ratio. It is shown in [18] that, within the three-dimensional theory of elasticity, the expression (6) is a consistent approximation with the hypothesis of the conservation of normals. The strain energy of the shell is

$$U_1 = \iint_{\Sigma} W \, d\sigma. \quad (7)$$

The state of stress of the shell is characterized by the symmetric tensors  $n^{\alpha\beta}$

and  $m^{\alpha\beta}$ , defined by

$$n^{\alpha\beta} = \partial W / \partial \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad m^{\alpha\beta} = \partial W / \partial \rho_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (8)$$

$n^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $m^{\alpha\beta}$  are the two-dimensional membrane and bending stresses.

From relations (6) and (8), we find the constitutive equation

$$n^{\alpha\beta} = h B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta}, \quad m^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{h^3}{12} B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho_{\gamma\delta}. \quad (9)$$

The external loads acting on the shell are a surface load of density  $\vec{p} = p^{\alpha} \vec{a}_{\alpha} + p \vec{a}_3$ , applied to the middle surface  $\Sigma$ ; a line load of density  $\vec{q} = q^{\alpha} \vec{a}_{\alpha} + q \vec{a}_3$  and a couple of density  $\vec{m} = m_{\alpha} \vec{a}^{\alpha}$ , both applied to the boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $\Sigma$ . The line force and couple are given on  $\Gamma_1$ ; they are reactive forces on  $\Gamma_2$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ ). The potential of external loads is given by

$$U_2 = \iint_{\Sigma} (p^{\alpha} v_{\alpha} + p w) d\sigma + \int_{\Gamma} (q^{\alpha} v_{\alpha} + q w + e^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha} m_{\beta}) ds, \quad (10)$$

where  $e^{\alpha\beta}$  is the antisymmetric tensor  $e^{12} = -e^{21} = 1/\sqrt{a}$ ,  $e^{11} = e^{22} = 0$ .

The relations (7) and (10) define the potential energy of the shell

$U = U_1 - U_2$ , that is the quadratic functional of the displacements  $v_{\alpha}$  and  $w$

$$\begin{aligned} U[v_{\alpha}, w] = & \iint_{\Sigma} \left[ \frac{1}{2} B^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (h \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{h^3}{12} \rho_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\gamma\delta}) \right. \\ & \left. - (p^{\alpha} v_{\alpha} + p w) \right] d\sigma \\ & - \int_{\Gamma} (q^{\alpha} v_{\alpha} + q w + e^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha} m_{\beta}) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in this expression the components of the rotation  $u_{\alpha}$  are defined by (3) and the strains  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}$  by equations (4).



The position of equilibrium of the shell is defined by the condition

$$\delta U = 0 \quad (12a)$$

and by the geometrical boundary conditions on  $\Gamma_2$ . In the three simplest cases, these boundary conditions are

$v_\alpha = 0$ ,  $w = 0$ ,  $u_n = 0$  along a clamped edge, where  $u_n$  is the normal rotation;

$v_\alpha = 0$ ,  $w = 0$  along a supported edge; (12b)

no kinematic condition along a free edge.

From relations (12) there follow the equilibrium equation in  $D$ , the natural boundary conditions on  $\partial D_1$  (image of  $\Gamma_1$  in the plan  $(\theta^1, \theta^2)$ ) and the forces of reaction on  $\partial D_2$ . The equilibrium equations so obtained coincide with the exact two-dimensional equilibrium equations given by Green and Zerna [20], if the tensor  $m^{\alpha\beta}$  is supposed to be symmetric. It follows that the stresses solution of our boundary value problem ensure the equilibrium of all parts of the shell defined by  $(\theta^1, \theta^2) \in B \subset D$ ,  $-h/2 \leq \theta^3 \leq h/2$ .

### 3. STRAIN ENERGY IN CARTESIAN COORDINATES

Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a system of Cartesian coordinates, we define the middle surface  $\Sigma$  by the equation  $x_3 = x_3(x_1, x_2)$  or  $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(x_1, x_2)$ , with  $\vec{r}^T = (x_1, x_2, x_3(x_1, x_2))$ . In order to simplify the writing, we shall use in the following the notations  $z = x_3$ ,  $z_\alpha = x_{3,\alpha}$ ,  $z_{\alpha\beta} = x_{3,\alpha\beta}$ . The base vectors on  $\Sigma$  can be written in that case

$$\vec{a}_1^T = (1, 0, z_1), \quad \vec{a}_2^T = (0, 1, z_2), \quad \vec{a}_3^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} (-z_1, -z_2, 1), \quad (13)$$

with  $a = 1 + z_1^2 + z_2^2$ . One deduces from them the two fundamental forms on  $\Sigma$

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{a}_\alpha \cdot \vec{a}_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + z_\alpha z_\beta, \quad b_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{a}_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot \vec{a}_3 = \frac{z_{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{a}}; \quad (14)$$

where  $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  is Kronecker's symbol.

Let  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  be the Cartesian components of the displacement;\* the deformed surface  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is defined by the equation  $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(x_1, x_2)$ , where  $\vec{r}^T = (x_1 + u_1(x_1, x_2), x_2 + u_2(x_1, x_2), z + u_3(x_1, x_2))$ . On  $\bar{\Sigma}$ , the base vectors take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\bar{a}}_1^T &= (1 + u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, z_1 + u_{3,1}), \\ \vec{\bar{a}}_2^T &= (u_{1,2}, 1 + u_{2,2}, z_2 + u_{3,2}); \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

from this, we can find the fundamental forms  $\bar{a}_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\bar{b}_{\alpha\beta}$ . On keeping only the linear terms in  $u_i$  and their derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{\alpha\beta} - a_{\alpha\beta} &= u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha} + z_\alpha u_{3,\beta} + z_\beta u_{3,\alpha}; \\ \bar{b}_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} [z_{\alpha\beta} (z_\gamma z_{\gamma\lambda} u_{\gamma,\lambda} - z_\gamma u_{3,\gamma})/a - z_\gamma u_{\gamma,\alpha\beta} + u_{3,\alpha\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

\* Care will be taken to not confuse the Cartesian components of the displacement and the rotations defined by equation (3), which will not appear in the rest of this paper.

Formulas (5) and (16) define the strains as functions of the displacement. Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\epsilon}^T &= (\epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_{22}, \epsilon_{12}), & \vec{\rho}^T &= (\rho_{11}, \rho_{22}, \rho_{12}), \\ \vec{n}^T &= (n^{11}, n^{22}, n^{12}), & \vec{m}^T &= (m^{11}, m^{22}, m^{12});\end{aligned}$$

let  $\vec{\partial}_x^n$  still be the symbolic vector of dimension  $n$  ( $n = 3$  or  $6$ ), defined as

$$\vec{\partial}_x^{6T} = (1, \partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_1 \partial x_1, \partial x_2 \partial x_2, \partial x_1 \partial x_2);$$

$\vec{\partial}_x^3$  will be the three first components of this vector. If no confusion is possible, we shall write  $\vec{\partial}^n$  rather than  $\vec{\partial}_x^n$ ; in the same way, we shall omit the subscript  $n$  if it is not necessary to the understanding. The notation  $\partial_k$  will be the  $k$ th component of this vector. With these notations, the strain parameters can be set under the form

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\epsilon} &= (A_1, A_2, A_3) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial} u_1 \\ \vec{\partial} u_2 \\ \vec{\partial} u_3 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial} u_1 \\ \vec{\partial} u_2 \\ \vec{\partial} u_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \vec{\rho} &= (B_1, B_2, B_3) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial} u_1 \\ \vec{\partial} u_2 \\ \vec{\partial} u_3 \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\partial} u_1 \\ \vec{\partial} u_2 \\ \vec{\partial} u_3 \end{pmatrix};\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where  $\vec{\partial}$  is written for  $\vec{\partial}_x^6$  and the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , of dimension  $3 \times 18$ , are the functions of  $z, z_\alpha, z_{\alpha\beta}$  given in Table I.

Let us introduce in (6) the contravariant components of the metric deduced from (14), we get for the constitutive equation (9)

$$\vec{n} = C_m K \vec{\epsilon}, \quad \vec{m} = C_f K \vec{\rho};\tag{18}$$

where  $K$  is the  $3 \times 3$  matrix given at Table II and  $C_m = Eh/(1 - \nu^2)$ ,  
 $C_f = Eh^3/12(1 - \nu^2)$ . The physical components of stresses, that is to say, those  
 relative to unit base vectors, are

$$n_{(\alpha\beta)} = n^{\alpha\beta} c_{(\alpha\beta)}, \quad m_{(\alpha\beta)} = m^{\alpha\beta} c_{(\alpha\beta)}, \quad (19)$$

where  $c_{(11)} = \sqrt{a(1 + z_1^2)/(1 + z_2^2)}$ ,  $c_{(22)} = \sqrt{a(1 + z_2^2)/(1 + z_1^2)}$ ,

$c_{(12)} = \sqrt{a}$ . Both formulas (19) must be understood without sum on the indices  
 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

The strain energy of the shell can now be written as

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{2} \iint_D \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{\delta}^T u_i R_{ij} \vec{\delta} u_j \sqrt{a} dx_1 dx_2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$R_{ij} = C_m A_i^T K A_j + C_f B_i^T K B_j \quad (21)$$

is a  $6 \times 6$  matrix only depending on the geometry of the surface (the functions  
 $z, z_\alpha, z_{\alpha\beta}$ ) and on the elastic coefficients  $C_m$  and  $C_f$ ;  $D$  is the projection  
 of the shell in the plane  $(x^1, x^2)$ . In the same way, we could find the expression  
 of the potential of the external loads, in Cartesian coordinates.



## 4. DISCRETIZATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let us divide the domain  $D$  into triangular elements, approximating the curved parts of  $\partial D$  by straight segments; we denote by  $\bar{D}$  the polygonal domain so formed and by  $\partial \bar{D}$  its boundary. Let  $N$  be the number of nodes of the mesh;  $\bar{D}_i$  the domain formed by the triangles admitting  $P_i$  for vertex (see Fig. 1) and  $\vec{\psi}_i(x_1, x_2)$  functions associated with the node  $P_i$ , having the following properties:

1. They vanish outside of the domain  $\bar{D}_i$ ;
2. They verify the conditions  $\partial_k \psi_{il}(P_j) = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$ , where  $\psi_{il}$  is the  $l$ th component of the vector  $\vec{\psi}_i$ ,  $\partial_k$  is the  $k$ th component of  $\vec{\partial}_x^n$ ,  $P_j$  is a node of the domain  $\bar{D}$  and  $\delta_{ij}$  the Kronecker symbol;
3. The functions  $\vec{\psi}_i$  are of class  $C^1$  with piecewise continuous partial derivatives of second order and square integrable. They satisfy the conditions of convergence in energy, relative to the variational problem of second order. These conditions are given in [17]; we recall them for the clearness of the following.

The basic functions  $\vec{\psi}_i$  assure convergence in energy of variational problems of second order if, and only if, for all polynomials of second order  $Q(x_1, x_2)$ , one has the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\partial}^T Q(P_i) \cdot \vec{\psi}_i(x_1, x_2) = Q(x_1, x_2). \quad (22)$$

In particular, this relation is of course verified for all polynomial of the first order in  $x_1, x_2$ .

In the following, we consider a shell whose middle surface is of the form

$$z(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{z}_i^T \cdot \vec{\psi}_i(x_1, x_2), \quad (23)$$

where  $\vec{z}_i = \vec{\partial} z(P_i)$ . Practically, one gives the vector  $\vec{z}_i$  at each meshpoint of the domain  $\bar{D}$ , which entirely define the surface. On the other hand, for our variational problem, we restrict the space of the three unknown functions  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  to be a space of finite dimension, of the form

$$u_i(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^N \vec{\partial}^T u_i(P_j) \cdot \vec{\psi}_j(x_1, x_2), \quad (i = 1, 2, 3). \quad (24)$$

With such a choice of admissible functions one can represent exactly the rigid-body motions of the surface. Indeed, a linearized rigid-body displacement may be written  $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0 + \vec{\omega} \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0)$ , where  $\vec{r}^T = (x_1, x_2, z(x_1, x_2))$ ; the components of  $\vec{u}$  are therefore of the form  $\tilde{u}_i = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 z$  and, for such a function, one has the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \vec{\partial}^T \tilde{u}_i(P_j) \cdot \vec{\psi}_j(x_1, x_2) = \tilde{u}_i(x_1, x_2).$$

The proof is immediate: set  $\tilde{u}_i = v + a_3 z$ ,  $v$  is a polynomial of the first order in  $x_1, x_2$  for which we have the relation (22) and, from its definition,  $z(x_1, x_2)$  has the form (23).

Besides, we show in the second section that the strain energy vanishes if, and only if, the middle surface of the shell undergoes a rigid-body displacement. It follows that the formulas (23) and (24) represent the rigid-body motions of the shell exactly.

It is convenient for the following to restrict the functions  $\vec{\psi}_i$  on an element. Let  $\Delta$  be the triangle of  $\bar{D}$ , admitting the vertex  $P_r, P_s, P_t$  and let us note  $v(x_1, x_2)$  a function defined on  $\Delta$  by the formulas (23) or (24). In order to lighten the writing, we introduce the vector  $\vec{v}$ , relative to the element  $\Delta$ , defined as

$$\vec{v}^T = \left( \vec{\delta}^T v(P_r), \vec{\delta}^T v(P_s), \vec{\delta}^T v(P_t) \right) .$$

If we denote by  $\vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2)$  the  $3n$  functions  $\vec{\psi}_r, \vec{\psi}_s, \vec{\psi}_t$  defined in  $\Delta$  only, then  $v(x_1, x_2)$  takes the form

$$v(x_1, x_2) = \vec{v}^T \vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2) . \quad (25)$$

Now, let us consider a linear mapping such that the triangle  $P_r, P_s, P_t$  of the plane  $(x_1, x_2)$  is mapped on the unit triangle of the plane  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  whose vertices are  $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)$  (see Fig. 2), defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_{1r} \\ x_{2r} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where

(26)

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1s} - x_{1r} & x_{1t} - x_{1r} \\ x_{2s} - x_{2r} & x_{2t} - x_{2r} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

$(x_{1r}, x_{2r})$  being the coordinates of the node  $P_r$ . We have pointed out in [21] that the functions  $\vec{\phi}$  relative to the triangle  $\Delta$ , can be put under the form

$$\vec{\phi}(x_1, x_2) = T \vec{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2) , \quad (27)$$

the set  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  being linked by the relations (26). The matrix  $T$  characterizes the geometry of the triangle and  $\vec{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  are some functions defined on the unit triangle of the plane  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ . The functions of the form (25) can therefore be written as

$$v(x_1, x_2) = \vec{v}^T T \vec{\tilde{\phi}}(\xi_1, \xi_2) . \quad (28)$$

The functions  $\bar{\phi}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  are called basic functions of the plane  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ . In the following, we shall use the three types of basic functions given in [21] and summarized in Table III. For our variational problem, they define the subspaces of admissible functions of dimension  $18N$ ,  $18N$  and  $9N$  respectively.

Remark

Koiter's model reviewed in the second section only involves the derivatives of second order of the function  $z$ . Of course, basic functions of class  $C^1$  are sufficiently regular in this case. However, in some other models (for example, that given by Green and Zerna [20]), the expression of strain energy in Cartesian coordinates, make use of the derivatives of  $z$  of third order, and there it is necessary to use basic functions of class  $C^2$ .



## 5. DERIVATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX OF AN ELEMENT

We now propose to calculate the contribution of an element to the strain energy (20), restricting the admissible functions to those of the form (24).

The contribution of the element  $\Delta$  is

$$\Delta U_1 = \iint_{\Delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{\partial}_x^T u_i R_{ij} \vec{\partial}_x u_j \sqrt{a} dx_1 dx_2, \quad (29)$$

with  $R_{ij} = C_m A_i^T K A_j + C_f B_i^T K B_j$ . Let us effect the change of variable (26) in the integral (29). One has the formulas of derivation.

$$\vec{\partial}_x v = S \vec{\partial}_\xi v, \quad (30)$$

where  $S$  is a  $6 \times 6$  matrix depending on the geometry of the triangle  $\Delta$ , given at Table IV, with the notations

$$t_1 = (x_{2t} - x_{2r})/J, \quad t_2 = -(x_{1t} - x_{1r})/J,$$

$$t_3 = -(x_{2s} - x_{2r})/J, \quad t_4 = (x_{1s} - x_{1r})/J;$$

$$J = (x_{1s} - x_{1r})(x_{2t} - x_{2r}) - (x_{1t} - x_{1r})(x_{2s} - x_{2r})$$

being the Jacobian of the transformation. Substitution of (30) into (29) gives

$$\Delta U_1 = \iint \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{\partial}_\xi^T u_i \bar{R}_{ij} \vec{\partial}_\xi u_j \sqrt{a} |J| d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \quad (31)$$

where  $\bar{R}_{ij} = C_m \bar{A}_i^T K \bar{A}_j + C_f \bar{B}_i^T K \bar{B}_j$ , with  $\bar{A}_i = S A_i$  and  $\bar{B}_i = S B_i$ . Let us now introduce the admissible functions (24) in this integral. Writing henceforth  $\vec{\phi}$  rather than  $\vec{\phi}$ , the basic function of the plane  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ , we find

$$\Delta U_1 = |J| \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{u}_i^T T \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^6 \iint \partial_k \vec{\phi} \partial_l \vec{\phi}^T \bar{R}_{ijkl} \sqrt{a} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right\} T^T \vec{u}_j .$$

The elements  $\bar{R}_{ijkl}$  of the matrix  $\bar{R}_{ij}$  depend in a nonlinear way, on the geometry of the surface. In order to enable us to effect the numerical integration once and for all, we interpolate this function as follows

$$\bar{R}_{ijkl}(\xi) \sqrt{a(\xi)} = \sum_{p=1}^m \bar{R}_{ijkl}(I_p) \sqrt{a(I_p)} \theta_p(\xi) , \quad (32)$$

where  $\theta_p$  are Lagrangian polynomials of interpolation, relative to the points  $I_p$  of the unit triangle and  $\xi$  stands for  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ . The contribution of the element to the strain energy becomes then

$$\Delta U_1 = |J| \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{u}_i^T T \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^6 \sum_{p=1}^m \bar{R}_{ijkl}(I_p) \sqrt{a(I_p)} G_{klp} \right\} T^T \vec{u}_j , \quad (33)$$

where  $G_{klp}$  are the matrices

$$G_{klp} = \iint \partial_k \vec{\phi}(\xi) \partial_l \vec{\phi}^T(\xi) \theta_p(\xi) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \quad (34)$$

which depend on the choice of the basic functions and the Lagrangian polynomials. For a given set of such functions, these integrals may be computed once and for all. Finally, the stiffness matrix of the element is defined by the relation

$$\Delta U_1 = |J| \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \vec{u}_i^T T Q_{ij} T^T \vec{u}_j ,$$

with

$$Q_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^6 \sum_{p=1}^m \bar{R}_{ijkl}(I_p) \sqrt{a(I_p)} G_{klp} . \quad (35)$$

## 6. PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF COMPUTATION

Practically an element of shell is defined by its coordinates in the plane  $(x_1, x_2)$ , its vector  $\vec{z}$  and the thickness on the vertices.

We make use of Lagrangian polynomials of the third order, relative to the 10 points of interpolation shown at Figure 3. At each such point, we have to form the matrices  $A_i, B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $K$  entirely defined by the geometry of the element. For this purpose, we compute the values of the function  $z$  and its derivatives at these points from the basic functions and the vector  $\vec{z}$ . We get then the matrices  $\bar{R}_{ij}$ , from which we draw the stiffness matrix.

To estimate numerically the integrals  $G_{klp}$ , we use the 7-points formula given in [23]. We found a satisfying accuracy on using these formulas on 64 sub-triangles by dividing each side of the unit triangle in 8 equal parts. Those coefficients are computed once and for all and kept on a tape.

The interpolation of functions  $\bar{R}_{ijkl}$  by means of the polynomials  $\theta_p$ , yields that rigid-body motions cannot be represented exactly. However, some numerical experiments show that we get a very good approximation with the 10 points mentioned below, as soon as the mesh is rather fine (see [24]).

This element of shell has been introduced in a general purpose program, developed for the IBM 7040 computer of the EPFL (see [22]). This program deals with the formation of the master stiffness matrix and left-hand side of the structure, taking account of the boundary conditions; with the solving of the linear equations and the computation of stresses. One can introduce any linear conditions between the degrees of freedom of the structure and assemble elements of various kinds such as beam, plate, shell, etc. For the elements of shell, the program computes the physical components of in-plane and bending stresses at the corners and in the middle of the element. With the T3 basic functions,

the stresses are not continued at the nodes; in that case, one computes the average stresses at a node from the elements admitting this node for vertex.

## 7. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

We consider the shell shown in Figure 4; it is defined by the equation  $z = 5 - x_1^2/20$ ,  $-10 \leq x_1 \leq 10$ ,  $-10 \leq x_2 \leq 10$ ; its uniform thickness is  $h = 0.2$ , and the elastic coefficients are  $E = 2 \cdot 10^7$ ,  $\nu = 0.15$ .

This cylinder is supported along the edges  $x_2 = \pm 10$ , in such a way that we have  $u_1 = u_3 = 0$ ; it is free along the edges  $x_1 = \pm 10$ . We propose to settle the field of displacement and the state of stress under a uniform pressure  $p_3 = -1$ .

We have computed the quarter of the shell, with the three meshes shown in Figure 5 and the three types of basic functions T1, T2 and T3. Some characteristic numerical results are given in Tables V and VI. From these results, we can draw the following conclusions:

1. With a coarse mesh, the elements T1 generally give a better approximation than the elements T2. The results are almost the same when the mesh becomes fine.
2. The elements T3 which have only 9 degrees of freedom at each node, instead of 18 for T1 and T2, lead to worse numerical results for a given time of computation.
3. If the mathematical model only requires basic functions of class  $C^1$ , the elements T2 seems to be the best one.

## Acknowledgment

The authors are indebted to Professor J. Descloux, EPFL - Switzerland, for helpful discussions and to Professor W. Prager, Brown University, for his help in correcting English translation of the manuscript.



## REFERENCES

1. O. C. Zienkiewicz, The Finite Element Method in Structural and Continuum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, London, 1967.
2. C. P. Johnson, A Finite Element Approximation of the Analysis of Thin Shells, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
3. A. J. Carr, A Refined Finite Element Analysis of Thin Shell Structures Including Dynamic Loadings, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
4. R. W. Clough and C. P. Johnson, A Finite Element Approximation for the Analysis of Thin Shells, Int. J. Solid Struct., 4, 43-60 (1968).
5. O. C. Zienkiewicz, C. Parekh and I. P. King, Arch Dams Analysed by a Linear Finite Element Shell Solution Program, Proc. Symp. on Arch Dams, 19-22, Inst. of Civil Eng., London, 1968.
6. J. Ergatoudis, B. M. Irons, and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Three Dimensional Stress Analysis of Arch Dams by the Finite Element Method, Reports AD/1935 and AD/1745, Inst. of Civil Eng., London, 1966.
7. J. Ergatoudis, B. M. Irons and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Three Dimensional Stress Analysis of Arch Dams and Their Foundations, Proc. Symp. on Arch Dams, 37-50, Inst. of Civil Eng., London, 1968.
8. S. Ahmad, B. M. Irons and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Curved Thick Shell and Membrane Element with Particular Reference to Axi-Symmetric Problems, Proc. 2nd Conf. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
9. S. Ahmad, Curved Finite Elements in the Analysis of Solid, Shell and Plate Structures, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wales, Swansea, 1969.
10. S. Ahmad, B. M. Irons and O. C. Zienkiewicz, Analysis of Thick and Thin Shell Structures by General Curved Elements with Special Reference to Arch Dams, Research Report C/R/99/69, University of Wales, Swansea, 1969.
11. G. E. Strickland and W. A. Loden, A Doubly-Curved Triangular Shell Element, Proc. 2nd Conf. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
12. B. E. Greene, R. E. Jones and D. R. Stome, Dynamic Analysis of Shell Using Doubly Curved Finite Elements, Proc. 2nd Cong. on Matrix Methods in Struct. Mech., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1968.
13. J. J. Connor and C. Brabbia, Stiffness Matrix for Shallow Rectangular Shell Elements, J. Eng. Mech. Div., ASCE, 93, EM5, 43-65 (1967).
14. S. Utku, Stiffness Matrices for Thin Triangular Element of Nonzero Gaussian Curvature, AIAA J., 5, 1659-1667 (1967).

15. H. Fette, Gekrümmte finite Elemente zur Berechnung von Shaleutragwerken, Schriftenreihe der Institut für Konstruktiven Ingenieurbau, der Technischen Universität Braunschweig, Heft 1, Düsseldorf, 1969.
16. Ph. Clément and J. Descloux, On the Rigid Displacement Condition, to appear.
17. J. J. Goél, Construction of Basic Functions for Numerical Utilisation of Ritz's Method, Num. Math., 12, 435-447 (1968).
18. The Theory of Thin Elastic Shells, Proc. Symp. IUTAM, Delft, 1959. Ed. by W. T. Koiter, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1960.
19. Theory of Thin Shells, Proc. Symp. IUTAM, Copenhagen, 1967 Ed. by F. L. Niordson, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969.
20. A. E. Green and W. Zerna, Theoretical Elasticity, Oxford Press, Oxford, 1954.
21. G. Dupuis and J. J. Goél, Finite Elements with High Degree of Regularity, to appear.
22. C. Bossoney, G. Dupuis and J. J. Goél, CASTEL a General Purpose Program for Structural Analysis, Technical Report, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale-Lausanne, Department of Mathematics, Lausanne, 1969.
23. P. C. Hammer, O. J. Marlove and A. H. Strond, Numerical Integration over Simplex and Cones, MTAC, X, 130-136 (1956).
24. G. Dupuis and J. J. Goél, Some Numerical Results in Finite Element Analysis of Thin Elastic Shells, Technical Report, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale-Lausanne, Department of Mathematics, Lausanne, 1969.

Table I

$A_1 =$

	1	0			
	0	0			
	0	1/2			

$A_2 =$

	0	0			
	0	1			
	1/2	0			

$A_3 =$

	$z_1$	0			
	0	$z_2$			
	$z_2/2$	$z_1/2$			

$B_1 = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}}$

	$-z_{11}z_1^2$ $+a_1$	$-z_{11}z_1z_2$ $+a_2/2$	$a z_1$		
	$-z_{22}z_1^2$	$-z_{22}z_1z_2$ $+a_4/2$		$a z_1$	
	$-z_{12}z_1^2$ $+a_4/2$	$-z_{12}z_1z_2$ $+(a_1+a_3)/4$			$a z_1$

Table I (continued)

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}}$$

	$-z_{11}z_1z_2$ $+\alpha_2/2$	$-z_{11}z_2^2$	$az_2$		
	$-z_{22}z_1z_2$ $+\alpha_4/2$	$-z_{22}z_2^2$ $+\alpha_3$		$az_2$	
	$-z_{12}z_1z_2$ $+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)/4$	$-z_{12}z_1^2$ $+\alpha_2/2$			$az_2$

$$B_3 = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}}$$

	$z_{11}z_1$ $+\alpha_1z_1$ $+\alpha_2z_2/2$	$z_{11}z_2$ $+\alpha_2z_1/2$	$-1$		
	$z_{22}z_1$ $+\alpha_4z_2/2$	$z_{22}z_2$ $+\alpha_3z_2$ $+\alpha_4z_1/2$		$-1$	
	$z_{12}z_1$ $+\alpha_4z_1/2$ $+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)z_2/4$	$z_{12}z_2$ $+\alpha_2z_2/2$ $+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)z_1/4$			$-1$

$$\alpha_1 = (1 + z_2^2) z_{11} - z_1 z_2 z_{12} \quad ; \quad \alpha_2 = (1 + z_1^2) z_{12} - z_1 z_2 z_{11} ;$$

$$\alpha_3 = (1 + z_1^2) z_{22} - z_1 z_2 z_{12} \quad ; \quad \alpha_4 = (1 + z_2^2) z_{12} - z_1 z_2 z_{22} .$$



Table II

$$K = \frac{1}{a^2}$$

$(1 + z_2^2)^2$	$(1-v) z_1^2 z_2^2$ $+v(1+z_1^2)(1+z_2^2)$	$-2(1+z_2^2)z_1 z_2$
$(1-v)z_1^2 z_2^2$ $+v(1+z_1^2)(1+z_2^2)$	$(1 + z_1^2)^2$	$-2(1+z_1^2)z_1 z_2$
$-2(1+z_2^2)z_1 z_2$	$-2(1+z_1^2)z_1 z_2$	$2(1-v)(1+z_1^2)(1+z_2^2)$ $+2(1+v)z_1^2 z_2^2$

Table III

Type	n	Parameters associated at each node (vector $\vec{v}$ )	Dimension of the matrix $\tau$	$\vec{\phi}$ Functions	Class
T1	6	$v, v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}, v_{x_1 x_1},$ $v_{x_2 x_2}, v_{x_1 x_2}$	18 x 30	Rational functions	$C^2$
T2	6	$v, v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}, v_{x_1 x_1},$ $v_{x_2 x_2}, v_{x_1 x_2}$	18 x 21	Polynomials of the 5th degree	$C^1$
T3	3	$v, v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}$	9 x 12	Rational functions	$C^1$

Table IV

S =

1					
	$t_1$	$t_3$			
	$t_2$	$t_4$			
			$t_1^2$	$t_3^2$	$2t_1t_3$
			$t_2^2$	$t_4^2$	$2t_2t_4$
			$t_1t_2$	$t_3t_4$	$t_1t_4$ $+t_2t_3$

Table V

Point	Elements Dis- placement	Mesh 1 (Fig. 5a)			Mesh 2 (Fig. 5b)			Mesh 3 (Fig. 5c)		
		T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3
A	$u_1 \times 10^2$	0.842	0.731	0.476	0.772	0.760	0.722	0.7663	0.7662	0.7613
	$u_3 \times 10^2$	-1.117	-0.999	-0.658	-1.039	-1.024	-0.975	-1.0315	-1.0315	-1.0251
B	$u_1 \times 10^2$	0.110	0.130	0.087	0.114	0.115	0.112	0.1144	0.1146	0.1143
	$u_3 \times 10^2$	-0.165	-0.203	-0.143	-0.175	-0.177	-0.174	-0.1759	-0.1761	-0.1760
C	$u_3 \times 10^2$	0.155	0.179	0.109	0.159	0.160	0.155	0.1594	0.1596	0.1589

Table VI

		Mesh 1 (Fig. 5a)		Mesh 2 (Fig. 5b)		Mesh 3 (Fig. 5c)	
Point	<div> <div>Elements</div> <div>Stress</div> </div>	T2	T3	T2	T3	T2	T3
A	$n_{(22)}$	280.0	125.7	272.2	228.9	272.4	261.6
	$m_{(22)}$	3.73	2.38	3.73	3.63	3.74	3.93
B	$n_{(22)}$	-69.2	-42.4	-69.2	-66.9	-69.8	-69.3
	$m_{(22)}$	0.78	0.97	0.25	0.56	0.21	0.30
C	$n_{(22)}$	55.1	64.9	48.1	57.9	48.0	50.6
	$m_{(22)}$	-1.03	-0.82	-1.08	-1.04	-1.06	-1.01






FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3








FIG.4



(a)



(b)



(c)

FIG. 5

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

PART I - GOVERNMENT

Administrative & Liaison Activities

Chief of Naval Research  
Attn: Code 439 (2)  
468  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Commanding Officer  
ONR Branch Office  
495 Summer Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Commanding Officer  
ONR Branch Office  
219 S. Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Commanding Officer  
ONR Branch Office  
Box 39  
c/o Fleet Post Office  
New York, N. Y. (5)  
10011

Commanding Officer  
ONR Branch Office  
207 West 24th Street  
New York, New York 10011

Commanding Officer  
ONR Branch Office  
1030 E. Green Street  
Pasadena, California 91101

U. S. Naval Research Laboratory  
Attn: Technical Information Div.  
Washington, D. C. 20390 (6)

Defense Documentation Center  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20)

Army

Commanding Officer  
U. S. Army Research Off.-Durham  
Attn: Mr. J. J. Murray  
CRD-AA-IP  
Box CM, Duke Station  
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Commanding Officer  
AMXMR-ATL  
U. S. Army Materials Res. Agcy.  
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Redstone Scientific Info. Center  
Chief, Document Section  
U. S. Army Missile Command  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

AMSMI-RKP  
Attn: Mr. T. H. Duerr  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Ballistic Research Laboratories  
Attn: Dr. A. S. Elder  
Aberdeen Proving Ground  
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Ballistic Research Laboratories  
Attn: Mr. H. P. Oay  
AMXER-ID  
Aberdeen Proving Ground  
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Technical Library  
Aberdeen Proving Ground  
Aberdeen, Maryland 21005

Navy

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 042 (Cent. Lib. Br.)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 050  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 700 (Struc. Mech. Lab.)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 720  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 725  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 740 (Mr. W. J. Sette)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 901 (Dr. M. Strassberg)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 941 (Dr. R. Liebowitz)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 945 (Mr. A. O. Sykes)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 960 (Mr. E. F. Noonan)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer and Director  
Attn: Code 962 (Dr. E. Buchmann)  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington, D. C. 20007

Commanding Officer & Director  
Code 257, Library  
U. S. Navy Marine Engr. Lab.  
Annapolis, Maryland 21402  
  
Commander  
Technical Library  
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station  
Pasadena Annex  
3202 E. Foothill Blvd.  
Pasadena, California 91107

U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station  
Attn: Dr. Arnold Adicoff  
Code 5056  
China Lake, California 93557

Commander  
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station  
Mechanical Engineering Division  
Code 556  
China Lake, California 93557

Commanding Officer & Director  
U. S. Naval Civil Engr. Lab.  
Code L31  
Port Hueneme, California 93041

Shinyard Technical Library  
Code 242L  
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard  
Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03804

U. S. Naval Electronics Laboratory  
Attn: Dr. R. J. Christensen  
San Diego, California 92152

U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory  
Mechanics Division  
RFD 1, White Oak  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory  
Attn: Mr. H. A. Perry, Jr.  
Non-Metallic Materials Division  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Supervisor of Shipbuilding  
U. S. Navy  
Newport News, Virginia 23607

Director  
U. S. Navy Underwater Sound Ref. Lab.  
Office of Naval Research  
P. O. Box 8337  
Orlando, Florida 32806

Technical Library  
U. S. Naval Propellant Plant  
Indian Head, Maryland 20640

U. S. Naval Propellant Plant  
Attn: Dr. J. G. Tuono  
Research & Development Division  
Indian Head, Maryland 20640

Chief of Naval Operations  
Attn: Code Op-03EG  
Op-07T  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20350

Director, Special Projects  
Attn: Sp-001  
L3  
2731

Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Executive Secretary PLRRD  
Special Projects Office (Sp-00110)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

U. S. Naval Applied Science Lab.  
Code 9832  
Technical Library  
Building 291, Naval Base  
Brooklyn, New York 11251

Director  
Aeronautical Materials Lab.  
Naval Air Engineering Center  
Naval Base  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112

Director  
Aeronautical Structures Lab.  
Naval Air Engineering Center  
Naval Base  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112

Commander  
U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory  
Dahlgren, Virginia 22448

Navy (cont'd)

Director  
Attn: Code 5360  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director  
Attn: Code 5500  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director  
Attn: Code 6200  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director  
Attn: Code 6210  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director  
Attn: Code 6250  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director  
Attn: Code 6260  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Director  
Attn: Code Technical Library  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 210-L  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 305  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 345  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 421  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 423  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 430  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 440  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 442  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 443  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Ships  
Attn: Code 1500  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code DLI-3  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code R-12  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RAAD-2  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RAAD-24 (Mr. E. M. Ryan)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RM  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RMMP-2  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RMMP-11 (Mr. I. Silver)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RMMP-22 (Mr. J. C. Ardinger)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RR  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RRRE  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RRRE-61 (Mr. W. J. Marciniak)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons  
Attn: Code RU  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Bureau of Yards & Docks Tech. Lib.  
Yards & Docks Annex  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20390

Mr. Ernest A. Hogge  
Head, Scientific Support Division  
U. S. Navy Mine Defense Laboratory  
Panama City, Florida 32402

Mr. Garet A. Bornstein  
U.S. Naval Propellant Plant  
Indian Head, Md. 20640

Air Force

Commander  
Chief, Applied Mechanics Group  
U. S. Air Force Inst. of Tech.  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Chief, Civil Engineering Branch  
WLRC, Research Division  
Air Force Weapons Laboratory  
Kirtland AFB, New Mexico 87117

Commander  
AFRPL (RPMC/Dr. F. N. Kelley)  
Edwards AFB, California 93523

Commander  
Attn: Mr. A. L. Skinner, OOMQOC  
Hill AFB, Utah 84401

Commander  
Mechanics Division  
Air Force Office of Scien. Res.  
Washington, D. C. 20333

Commander, WADD  
Attn: Code WWRMDD  
AFFDL (FDDS)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD  
Attn: Code WWRMDS  
AFFDL (FDT)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD  
Attn: Code WCLSY  
SEG (SEFSD, Mr. Larkin)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD  
Attn: Code WWRRC  
AFML (MAAM)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio 45433

Commander, WADD  
Attn: Structures Division  
AFLC (MCEEA)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio 45433

NASA

Structures Research Division  
Attn: Mr. R. R. Heldenfels, Chief  
National Aeronautics & Space Admin.  
Langley Research Center  
Langley Station  
Hampton, Virginia 23365

National Aeronautics & Space Admin.  
Code RV-2  
Washington, D. C. 20546

National Aeronautics & Space Admin.  
Associate Administrator for Advanced  
Research & Technology  
Washington, D. C. 20546

Scientific & Tech. Info. Facility  
NASA Representative (S-AK/DL)  
P. O. Box 5700  
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Other Government Activities

Commandant  
Chief, Testing & Development Div.  
U. S. Coast Guard  
1300 E Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20226

Director  
Marine Corps Landing Force Deval. Cen.  
Marine Corps Schools  
Quantico, Virginia 22134

Director  
Attn: Mr. B. L. Wilson  
National Bureau of Standards  
Washington, D. C. 20234

National Science Foundation  
Engineering Division  
1951 Constitution Avenue, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20550



# Other Government Activities

Science & Tech. Division  
Library of Congress  
Washington, D. C. 20540

Director  
STBS  
Defense Atomic Support Agency  
Washington, D. C. 20301

Commander Field Command  
Defense Atomic Support Agency  
Sandia Base  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency  
Blast & Shock Division  
The Pentagon  
Washington, D. C. 20301

Director Defense Research & Engr.  
Technical Library  
Room 3C-128  
The Pentagon  
Washington, D. C. 20301

Chief, Airframe & Equipment Branch  
FS-120  
Office of Flight Standards  
Federal Aviation Agency  
Washington, D. C. 20553

Chief, Division of Ship Design  
Maritime Administration  
Washington, D. C. 20235

Deputy Chief, Office of Ship Constr.  
Attn: Mr. U. L. Russo  
Maritime Administration  
Washington, D. C. 20235

Executive Secretary  
Committee on Undersea Warfare  
National Academy of Sciences  
2101 Constitution Avenue  
Washington, D. C. 20418

Ship Hull Research Committee  
Attn: Mr. A. R. Lytle  
National Research Council  
National Academy of Sciences  
2101 Constitution Avenue  
Washington, D. C. 20418

## PART II- CONTRACTORS AND OTHER TECHNICAL COLLABORATORS

### Universities

Prof. P. J. Blatz  
Science Center of N. America  
Rockwell Corp.  
Thousand Oaks, Calif.  
91360

Prof. Julius Miklowitz  
Div. of Engr. & Applied Sciences  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California 91109

Prof. George Sih  
Department of Mechanics  
Lehigh University  
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

Prof. Dr. Dogan E. Gucer  
Makina Fakultesi  
Istanbul Teknik Univ.  
Istanbul, TURKEY

Prof. Eli Sternberg  
Div. of Engr. & Applied Sciences  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California 91109

Prof. Paul M. Naghdi  
Div. of Applied Mechanics  
Etcheverry Hall  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720

Prof. J. Baltrukonis  
Mechanics Division  
The Catholic Univ. of America  
Washington, D. C. 20017

Prof. A. J. Durelli  
Mechanics Division  
The Catholic Univ. of America  
Washington, D. C. 20017

Prof. H. H. Bleich  
Department of Civil Engr.  
Columbia University  
Amsterdam & 120th Street  
New York, New York 10027

Prof. R. D. Mindlin  
Department of Civil Engr.  
Columbia University  
S. W. Mudd Building  
New York, New York 10027

Professor B. A. Boley  
Dept. of Theo. & Appl. Mechanics  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, N. Y. 14850

Prof. F. L. DiMaggio  
Department of Civil Engr.  
Columbia University  
616 Mudd Building  
New York, New York 10027

Professor A. M. Freudenthal  
School of Engineering  
The George Washington University  
Washington, D. C. 20006

Professor W. A. Nash  
Mech. & Aerospace Eng.  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, Massachusetts 10002

Prof. B. Budiansky  
Div. of Engr. & Applied Physics  
Pierce Hall  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Prof. P. G. Hodge  
Department of Mechanics  
Illinois Institute of Technology  
Chicago, Illinois 60616

Prof. H. T. Corten  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Prof. W. J. Hall  
Department of Civil Engr.  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Prof. N. M. Newmark  
Dept. of Civil Engineering  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois 61803

Dr. W. H. Avery  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
Johns Hopkins University  
8621 Georgia Avenue  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Prof. J. B. Tiedemann  
Dept. of Aero. Engr. & Arch.  
University of Kansas  
Lawrence, Kansas 66045

Prof. S. Taira  
Department of Engineering  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto, Japan

Library (Code 0384)  
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, California 93940

Professor Eric Reissner  
Department of AMES  
University of California, San Diego  
P. O. Box 109  
La Jolla, California 92037

Prof. E. L. Reiss  
Courant Inst. of Math. Sciences  
New York University  
4 Washington Place  
New York, New York 10003

Dr. Francis Cozzarelli  
Div. of Interdisciplinary  
Studies and Research  
School of Engineering  
State Univ. of N.Y. at Buffalo  
Buffalo, New York 14214

Dr. George Herrmann  
The Technological Institute  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, Illinois 60201

Director, Ordnance Research Lab.  
The Pennsylvania State University  
P. O. Box 30  
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Prof. Eugen J. Skudrzyk  
Department of Physics  
Ordnance Research Lab.  
The Pennsylvania State University  
P. O. Box 30  
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Dean Oscar Baguio  
College of Engineering  
University of Philippines  
Quezon City, Philippines

Prof. J. Kempner  
Dept. of Aero. Engr. & Applied Mech.  
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn  
333 Jay Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Prof. J. Klosner  
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn  
333 Jay Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Prof. F. R. Eirich  
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn  
333 Jay Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Professor A. C. Eringen  
School of Astro. & Mech. Engr. Sci.  
Princeton University  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Dr. S. L. Koh  
School of Aero., Astro. & Engr. Sc.  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Prof. D. Schapery  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Prof. E. H. Lee  
Div. of Engr. Mechanics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

Prof. R. J. H. Bollard  
Chairman, Aeronautical Engr. Dept.  
207 Guggenheim Hall  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

Universities (cont'd)

Dr. Nicholas J. Hoff  
Dept. of Aero. & Astro.  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

Prof. J. N. Goodier  
Div. of Engr. Mechanics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

Prof. Markus Reiner  
Technion R & D Foundation, Ltd.  
Haifa, Israel

Prof. Tsuyoshi Hayashi  
Department of Aeronautics  
Faculty of Engineering  
University of Tokyo  
BUNKYO-KU  
Tokyo, Japan

Prof. Albert S. Kobayashi  
Dept. of Mechanical Engr.  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

Officer-in-Charge  
Post Graduate School for Naval Off.  
Webb Institute of Naval Arch.  
Crescent Beach Road, Olen Cove  
Long Island, New York 11542

Prof. James Mar  
Dept. of Aero. & Astro.  
Mass. Inst. of Tech.  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Prof. J. Edmund Fitzgerald  
Chairman, Dept. of Civil Engineering  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Mr. Ronald D. Brown  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
Chemical Propulsion Agency  
8621 Georgia Avenue  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Research and Development  
Electric Boat Division  
General Dynamics Corporation  
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Supervisor of Shipbuilding, USN,  
and Naval Insp. of Ordnance  
Electric Boat Division  
General Dynamics Corporation  
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Dr. L. H. Chen  
Basic Engineering  
Electric Boat Division  
General Dynamics Corporation  
Groton, Connecticut 06340

Mr. Rose H. Petty  
Technical Librarian  
Allegany Ballistics Lab.  
Hercules Powder Company  
P. O. Box 210  
Cumberland, Maryland 21501

Dr. J. H. Thacher  
Allegany Ballistic Laboratory  
Hercules Powder Company  
Cumberland, Maryland 21501

Dr. Joshua E. Greenspon  
J. G. Engr. Research Associates  
3831 Menlo Drive  
Baltimore, Maryland 21215

Mr. R. F. Landel  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
4800 Oak Grove Drive  
Pasadena, California 91103

Mr. G. Lewis  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
4800 Oak Grove Drive  
Pasadena, California 91103

Dr. G. G. Makepeace  
Director, Research & Engineering  
Lockheed Propulsion Company  
P. O. Box 111  
Redlands, California 92374

Library  
Newport News Shipbuilding &  
Dry Dock Company  
Newport News, Virginia 23607

Mr. Cezar P. Nuguid  
Deputy Commissioner  
Philippine Atomic Energy Commission  
Manila, Philippines

Mr. S. C. Britton  
Solid Rocket Division  
Rocketdyne  
P. O. Box 548  
McGregor, Texas 76657

Dr. A. J. Ignatowski  
Redstone Arsenal Research Div.  
Rohm & Haas Company  
Huntsville, Alabama 35807

Dr. M. L. Merritt  
Division 5412  
Sandia Corporation  
Sandia Base  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Director  
Ship Research Institute  
Ministry of Transportation  
700, SHINKAWA  
Mitaka  
Tokyo, JAPAN

Dr. R. C. DeHart  
Southwest Research Institute  
8500 Culebra Road  
San Antonio, Texas 78206

Dr. Thor Smith  
Stanford Research Institute  
Menlo Park, California 94025

Dr. M. L. Baron  
Paul Weidlinger, Consulting Engr.  
777 Third Ave. - 22nd Floor  
New York, New York 10017

Mr. K. W. Bills, Jr.  
Dept. 4722, Bldg. 0525  
Aerojet-General Corporation  
P. O. Box 1947  
Sacramento, California 95809

Dr. James H. Wiegand  
Senior Dept. 4720, Bldg. 0525  
Ballistics & Mech. Properties Lab.  
Aerojet-General Corporation  
P. O. Box 1947  
Sacramento, California 95809

Dr. T. C. Fan  
The Rand Corporation  
1700 Main Street  
Santa Monica, California 90406

Mr. E. A. Alexander, Research Dept.  
Rocketdyne Division  
North American Aviation, Inc.  
6633 Canoga Avenue  
Canoga Park, California 91304

Industry and Research Institutes

Mr. J. S. Wise  
Aerospace Corporation  
P. O. Box 1308  
San Bernardino, California 92402

Dr. Vito Salerno  
Applied Technology Assoc., Inc.  
29 Church Street  
Ramsey, New Jersey 07446

Library Services Department  
Report Section, Bldg. 14-14  
Argonne National Laboratory  
9700 S. Cass Avenue  
Argonne, Illinois 60440

Dr. E. M. Kerwin  
Bolt, Beranek, & Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. M. C. Junger  
Cambridge Acoustical Associates  
129 Mount Auburn Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. F. R. Schwarzl  
Central Laboratory T.N.O.  
134 Julianalaan  
Delft, Holland

Dr. H. N. Abramson  
Southwest Research Institute  
8500 Culebra Road  
San Antonio, Texas 78206

Unclassified  
Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Brown University Division of Engineering		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP N/A	
3. REPORT TITLE A Curved Finite Element for Thin Elastic Shells			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) George Dupuis and Jean-Jacques Goel			
6. REPORT DATE December 1969		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 32	7b. NO. OF REFS 24
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. N00014-67-A-0191-0007		8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) N00014-0007/4	
8c. PROJECT NO. NR 064-512		8d. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research Boston Branch Office, 495 Summer Street Boxton, Massachusetts 02210	
13. ABSTRACT <p>This paper is concerned with a curved triangular finite shell element, which represents the rigid-body motions exactly and assures convergence in energy. The stiffness matrix is derived in a general way that is valid for all mathematical models which accept Kirchhoff's assumption. A numerical example is presented to indicate the quality of results that can be obtained with 9 or 18 degrees of freedom at each meshpoint and basic functions of classes <math>C^1</math> or <math>C^2</math>.</p>			

DD FORM 1473  
1 NOV 65

Unclassified  
Security Classification

14.	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
	Structural mechanics						
	Finite element analysis						
	Elastic shells						
	Doubly-curved finite element						